

~~Crosswords~~

~~Puzzles~~

Archive
Blog versions

~~Blog
5/17/05~~

It's beginning to see why one
would want to write for a blog.
I have ~~something to say~~ ^{a few thoughts} that
I certainly can't press, but
I do have my suspicions.
There's something fishy to
me about the present
Newsweek embarrassment
over the ~~newspaper~~ ^{story} & the
story about the Korean and
the toilet ~~that was supposedly~~
given to him by ^{supposedly} reliable
sources. My old counter-intelligence
and counter-espionage heads
go off. If you want to discredit
a ~~story~~ ^{story} ~~by the~~ ^{by the} or a Newsweek
~~story~~ ^{story}, you feed them
false information from a
little reliable source.

In counter-espionage ~~and~~
reliable sources are constantly
item by secret item, all accurate,
over a period of time to form
credibility. Only then do
you spring the trap.

As for the ~~rest~~ ^{rest} on the other
~~side~~ ^{side}, they too, can be
rebutted. We do have.

agents in celebration after all
~~the~~ Obviously, I have no
evidence to prove any of
the above. But ^{just} ~~now~~ still later
novelists ~~left~~ ^{are} still later
intelligent agent managers. He
suggests some that ~~is~~ ^{the outcome} proved
~~was~~ all too neatly all
too perfectly for one special
side. I admit - at the
age of eighty-two I don't
wish to become paranoid
again, but there are ^{obviously} too
glories ~~for~~ to be ~~lost~~ ^{for}
~~for~~ some people in the mix
in taking some serious
~~also~~ chunks out of ~~liberal~~
collected liberal ^{structures} ~~factories~~
Besides the lesson to ~~learn~~ ^{every}
up named Dept of State ~~trucks~~
is to see how far you can get
to that you get ~~not down~~
and ~~down~~ up to the eyeballs
~~off~~ in real clear and
dirty - land - in up to the eyeballs,
yeah;

At present,
I'm beginning to see why one would want to write for a blog. I have a
few thoughts ^{can not} that I certainly ^{feel some intimations,} can't prove, but I do have my suspicions. There's

^{The gap}
something fishy ^{to me?} about the present Newsweek embarrassment over the

^{It won't be Sp. Isikoff? CK. That little detail}
Michael Isikoff story about the Koran and the toilet which was given to him
^{14 Newsweek} ^{is redolent with bad}
^{One's} ^{odour.}
by a supposedly reliable Pentagon source. ^{My old conspiratorial and counter-}

espionage hackles go up. If you want to discredit a Dan Rather or a

^{check why there's gentleness}
Newsweek story by line, you feed them false information from a hitherto

reliable source. You learn that in Intelligence 101A.

^{} Close-up -}
^(often) ^{depends on building reliable}
^{For success} ^{often}
In counter-espionage, "reliable sources" are constructed item by secret
^{(That is seen as a necessary expenditure (done))}
item, all accurate, over a period of time to gain credibility. Only then do you
^{Then, you spring the trap,}
^{spring the trap.}

As for the riots on the other end, they, too, could ^{have been} orchestrated. We

do have agents in Pakistaⁿ after all.

} Close-ups

Obviously, I have no evidence to prove any of the above. ^{Nevertheless,} ~~But under~~
~~there still resides under my~~ ^{aging} ~~my novelist's hat~~ ^{my novelist's hat} ~~an~~ ^{endless} ~~intelligence agent manqué.~~ He suggests to me,
 that the outcome ^{was} ~~proved~~ ^{It came out} ~~all too neat, all too perfect,~~ ^(does) for one special side.

~~Dammit!~~ ^{do not wish} ~~I don't wish~~ ^{to become paranoid again,} but
^{look at the} ~~there are concrete gains to be obtained for some people in the mix in taking~~ ^{by the Right on this matter.}

~~such serious chunks out of an established liberal structure. Besides, the~~
^{covert} ~~in every unnamed Department of Dirty Tricks, is to see how far you can~~ ^(official, semi-official, or off-the-wall)
~~there is great pride to be obtained by~~
~~get in real down-and-dirty land in up to the eyeballs, yeah?~~ ^{leg}
~~going down the deepest~~ ^{into}
~~down-and-dirty land—Yeah!~~

Norman Mailer

I'm beginning to see why one would want to write a blog. At present, I have a few thoughts I can certainly not prove, but I do feel some intimations. The gaffe over the Michael Isikoff story in Newsweek about the Koran and the toilet is redolent with bad odor. Who, indeed, was his supposedly reliable Pentagon source? One's counter-espionage hackles go up. If you want to discredit a Dan Rather or a Newsweek crew, why, feed those gentlemen false information from a hitherto reliable source. You learn that in Intelligence 101A.

Counter-espionage often depends on building "reliable sources" ^{you construct} ~~item~~ ^{reliability item} by secret item, all accurate. That is seen as a necessary expenditure of useful intelligence, ^{it gains the source his} done over a period of time to ~~gain~~ ^{gain} credibility. Then, you spring the trap.

As for the riots on the other end, they, too, could have been orchestrated. We do have agents in Pakistan, after all, ^{not to mention} ~~Afghanistan.~~ ^{proof of}

Obviously, I have no ^{however,} ~~evidence to prove~~ any of the above. Nonetheless, ^{quite a volunteer} ~~There still resides under my aging novelist's hat an enduring intelligence agent,~~ ^{sadly} ~~manque.~~ ^{a little} He does suggest that the outcome was too neat. It came out perfect ^{one special side.} for one ~~special~~ side, ^{take up paranoia} (At the age of eighty-two I do not wish to become

~~paranoid~~ again, but ^{there are some most} look at the concrete gains obtained by the Right ^{through} in this matter. In every covert Department of Dirty Tricks, ^{whether} official, semi-official, ^{is there} ~~and~~ ^{over} off-the-wall, ~~there~~ ^{is there} is great pride to be obtained by going down ^{real} deep into down-and-dirty-land—Yeah! *Expedite the consequences.*

Norman Mailer

J.
NM Says Final. Send
on to Ariana (+ a copy)
here too, of course.
D.

I'm beginning to see why one would want to write a blog. At present, I have a few thoughts I can certainly not prove, but ~~I do feel some~~ ^{concerning} ~~intimations~~ ^{Isikoff's}. The gaffe over the Michael Isikoff story in Newsweek about the Koran and the toilet is redolent with bad odor. Who, indeed, was ~~his~~ supposedly reliable Pentagon source? One's counter-espionage hackles ^{rise} up. If you want to discredit a Dan Rather or a Newsweek crew, ^{just} ~~why~~ feed ^{them} ~~those gentlemen~~ false information from a hitherto reliable source. You learn that in Intelligence 101A.

Counter-espionage often depends on building "reliable sources." You ^{such} construct ^{by the} reliability item by secret item, all accurate. That is seen ^{as the} ~~intelligence artists as a~~ necessary expenditure of useful intelligence. ~~Done over a period of time, it~~

~~It~~ gains the source his credibility. Then, you spring the trap.

As for the riots ^{at} ~~on the occasion,~~ on the other end, they, too, could have been orchestrated. We do have agents in Pakistan, after all, not to mention Afghanistan.

Obviously, I ^{can often} ~~have~~ no proof of any of the above. There still resides, however, under my aging novelist's pate a volunteer intelligence agent, sadly manqué. He does suggest that the outcome was ^{too effectively} ~~a little~~ too neat. It came out ^{perfectly} ~~perfectly~~ for one side, one special side. At the age of eighty-two I do not wish

revive old) Lenin did leave us one valuable
 to take up paranoia again, but there were some most concrete gains obtained
 notion, ~~it~~ and note. It was "Whom?" When you ~~do~~
 by the Right through this matter. In every covert Department of Dirty Tricks,

whether official, semi-official, or off-the-wall, great pride is ~~there to be~~ ^{best}
 obtained by going ~~down~~ real deep into down-and-dirty-land—Yeah!

ttt
 Expedite the consequences.

Norman Mailer

↙
 (X) cannot understand a ^{curious} (matter),
 ask yourself "Whom? Whom does
 this ~~benefit~~? Dan I suggest
 that ~~the~~ ^{our} Right ~~for America~~ has
 just gained a good deal by way
 of this matter. In every ttt

yes, fine!